

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,303	10/29/2001	Beatrice Mayoud	H3888 PCT/US	3699
23657	7590 06/03/2003			
COGNIS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
2500 RENAIS GULPH MILL	SANCE BLVD., SUITE 20 LS, PA 19406	0	OGDEN JR, NEC	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	9
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/914,303	MAYOUD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, oly within the statutory minimul I will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	February 2000.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	vance except for form r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to tl 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved l	b)  disapproved by the Examin	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action	l.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•		
<ol> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer</li> </ol>	nts have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been receive	d in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	l Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
a)  The translation of the foreign language portion  The translation  The translati			•
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No stice of Informal Patent Application (P <sup>-</sup> ther:	

Art Unit: 1751

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 1751

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 11 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (105556) or DE (4224947).

EP '556 disclose an aqueous cleaning agent for cleaning kitchenware and other hard surfaces, said agent containing an anionic surfactant such as alkyl sulfate; an ethoxylated nonionic surfactant of an aliphatic alcohol; an alkyl polysaccharide such as alkyl polyglucoside; another nonionic surfactant; and a surfactant builder such as phosphates and carbonates. (see claim 5, pg. 10-pg. 11).

DE '947 disclose a washing agent containing an enzyme, synthetic sulfate or sulfonate anionic surfactants (pg. 2, lines 63-66); nonionic surfactants such as alkyl polyglycoside or alkyoxylates and builder substances. Note, see claims 3 and 4.

As these references teach all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

6. Claims 11-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE (19719606) in view of DE (105556).

DE '606 discloses a cleaning formulation comprising an alkyl or alkenyl sulfate; an alcohol polyethylene glycol ether; alkyl or alkenyl phosphates and electrolyte salts for simultaneously cleaning and antistatic finishing of coated metal surfaces.

DE '606 lacks a teaching of an alkyl oligoglycoside.

Art Unit: 1751

DE '556 is relied upon as set forth above. Specifically, DE '556 teaches that alkyl oligoglycoside are used in for cleaning metal surfaces.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the alkyl phosphates of DE '606 for the alkyl oligoglycoside of DE '556 because DE '556 teach that oligoglycoside reduce the traces after rinsing and DE '606 teach that alkyl phosphates are used for that same purpose. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to substitute said components because only similar results would have been obtained in the absence of a showing to the contrary.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 703-308-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1751

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

no May 31, 2003